

Appendix 2.11 - Comments on SA61 of the Local Plan: Site Allocations Regulation 18 consultation Feb-Mar 2015

Respondent ID	Comment ID	Respondent	Topic	Summary of Response	Council Response
151	SA1774	Sylvia Oland (local resident)	View	Blocking the view of the park for Keston Road residents is a concern with flats overlooking the rear of their houses and gardens. 5 storeys would be unimaginable.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
151	SA1775	Sylvia Oland (local resident)	Height	Understand need for additional housing but 5 storeys is too high and will spoil boundary of park	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
280	SA1776	C.R.J Currie	Building height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys (perhaps realistically of 7 storeys) would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road”, that indicates that the 5-storeyed buildings are proposed for the edge of the park. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
280	SA1777	C.R.J Currie	Building height	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL. London Plan policy 7.7E clearly implies that the edge of MOL is inappropriate for tall buildings.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
280	SA1778	C.R.J Currie	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, particularly given constrained access to the site, including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
280	SA1779	C.R.J Currie	Building height	Suggest that building height be restricted to 2 to 3 storeys.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
281	SA1780	Claire Smith	Building height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation

282	SA1781	H. Steel	Building height	Development over 2 storeys should not be permitted as this would be visible from the park and increase sense of enclosure.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
283	SA1782	Mike Bembenek, Local resident – Keston Road	Building height	I am aware of contradiction within your plans in terms of recommended building heights vs. proposed building heights and the detrimental impact this will have on the park.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
284	SA1783	Brenda Roach and Denham Hanson	Building height, amenity	Building heights of 2 to 5 storeys will have an adverse effect on neighbourhood due to loss of existing views, privacy, overlooking, overshadowing and loss of daylight entering Keston Road property from rear.	<p>Development will be required to respond to it's surroundings as set out in DM1 of the draft DMDPD.</p> <p>The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.</p>
284	SA1784	Brenda Roach and Denham Hanson	Building Height, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
284	SA1785	Brenda Roach and Denham Hanson	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
284	SA1786	Brenda Roach and Denham Hanson	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful, as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
284	SA1787	Brenda Roach and Denham Hanson	Density, site capacity	Proposal for 87 dwellings rising to 5 storeys would result in overdevelopment of the site.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

284	SA1788	Brenda Roach and Denham Hanson	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, particularly given constrained access to the site, including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
285	SA1789	Steven Kirkwood	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
285	SA1790	Steven Kirkwood	Building height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
285	SA1791	Steven Kirkwood	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
285	SA1792	Steven Kirkwood	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful, as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
285	SA1793	Steven Kirkwood	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
285	SA1794	Steven Kirkwood	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site, including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
285	SA1795	Steven Kirkwood	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

286	SA1796	Nora Kirkwood	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
286	SA1797	Nora Kirkwood	Building height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
286	SA1798	Nora Kirkwood	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
286	SA1799	Nora Kirkwood	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful, as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
286	SA1800	Nora Kirkwood	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
286	SA1801	Nora Kirkwood	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site, including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
286	SA1802	Nora Kirkwood	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
287	SA1803	Israel Amadi	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

287	SA1804	Israel Amadi	Building height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
287	SA1805	Israel Amadi	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
287	SA1806	Israel Amadi	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful, as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
287	SA1807	Israel Amadi	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
287	SA1808	Israel Amadi		Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site, including narrow streets and site entrance point.	<p>It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.</p>
287	SA1809	Israel Amadi	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
288	SA1810	Friends of Downhills Park	Building height	Buildings of 5 storeys would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character	<p>The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.</p>

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

288	SA1811	Friends of Downhills Park	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
288	SA1812	Friends of Downhills Park	Building height	Correspondence from officers at the Council suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is much smaller, quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
289	SA1813	Jonathan Gill	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
289	SA1814	Jonathan Gill, Local resident – Kirkstall Avenue	Building height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
289	SA1815	Jonathan Gill	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan Policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
289	SA1816	Jonathan Gill	Building height, local character	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
289	SA1817	Jonathan Gill	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
289	SA1818	Jonathan Gill	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site, including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
289	SA1819	Jonathan Gill	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development

					<p>management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
290	SA1820	Daniel Isichei and Katie Rye	Density	High density nature of this proposal would have a negative impact on local community.	A limit of 5 storeys is not considered to be high density development.
290	SA1821	Daniel Isichei and Katie Rye	Building height, density, local character	Proposed scale of development is not in keeping with local character	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
292	SA1822	Marie Davis	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
292	SA1823	Marie Davis	Building Height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
292	SA1824	Marie Davis	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
292	SA1825	Marie Davis	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful- as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
292	SA1826	Marie Davis	Building Height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.

					Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
292	SA1827	Marie Davis	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site, including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
292	SA1828	Marie Davis	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
293	SA1829	Debbie Reid	Building height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
293	SA1830	Debbie Reid	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
293	SA1831	Debbie Reid	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful - as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
293	SA1832	Debbie Reid	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
293	SA1833	Debbie Reid	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site, including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.

293	SA1834	Debbie Reid	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
294	SA1835		Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
294	SA1836	Katherine Currie	Building height, density	Accept that new housing is needed, however suggest that 2 storey houses could still provide additional housing whilst helping to create a community.	It is not considered that the number of units has a direct effect on the sense of community.
294	SA1837	Katherine Currie	Building Height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
294	SA1838	Katherine Currie	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
294	SA1839	Katherine Currie	Building Height, Development management	Flats when built locally have exceeded the original planning request. For instance the flats on the junction of Downhills Way and Lordship Lane are 7 storeys not the originally planned 5.	It is suggested that the imposing of a height limit does not permit an applicant to come in with a higher density development.
294	SA1840	Katherine Currie	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them' and cites Finsbury Park. However there are no houses on the inner edge of Finsbury Park – for instance between Manor House towards Finsbury Park tube the rebuilt horrors of flats look across the road to the park; they are not built on the park side. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful - as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
294	SA1841	Katherine Currie	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.

					Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
294	SA1842	Katherine Currie	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
294	SA1843	Katherine Currie	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
295	SA1844	Alison Bembenek	Site capacity	A constrained access point suggests a lower quantum of development should be pursued	It is not considered that the access significantly constrains the site.
295	SA1845	Alison Bembenek	Building height, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
295	SA1846	Alison Bembenek	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.7 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
295	SA1847	Alison Bembenek	Building height	Correspondence with Officers at the Council has suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them' and this is the reason why an allocation of up to 5 storeys is being proposed (above and beyond the upper heights set out in the London Plan matrix and Council's own evidence base). This aspiration is however completely misguided. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character is a park where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful - as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
295	SA1848	Alison Bembenek	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

295	SA1849	Alison Bembenek	Access	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
295	SA1850	Alison Bembenek	Density	The proposal represents density at the upper end of urban setting on the London Plan density matrix, which is out of character with the surrounding area.	This is incorrect. A mid-range approach was taken, as set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocations consultation document.
295	SA1851	Alison Bembenek	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
296	SA1852	Elizabeth Amadi	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
296	SA1853	Elizabeth Amadi	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
296	SA1854	Elizabeth Amadi	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
296	SA1855	Elizabeth Amadi	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful - as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
296	SA1856	Elizabeth Amadi	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Noted, parking requirements will be managed through the parking policy in the DMDPD.
296	SA1857	Elizabeth Amadi	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking requirements will be managed through the parking policy in the DMDPD.
296	SA1858	Elizabeth Amadi	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

				the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
296	SA1859	Elizabeth Amadi	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site, including narrow streets and site entrance point	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
296	SA1860	Elizabeth Amadi	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
297	SA1861	Helen Summers	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
297	SA1862	Helen Summers	Building Height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
297	SA1863	Helen Summers	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
297	SA1864	Helen Summers	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
297	SA1865	Helen Summers	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

					Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
297	SA1866	Helen Summers	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site, including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
297	SA1867	Helen Summers	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
298	SA1868	Pascal Savy	Building Height, amenity, local views	Building would spoil view for both park users and local residents	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
298	SA1869	Pascal Savy	Building height , local character	Proposed development would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
299	SA1870		Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
299	SA1871	Rehana Ally	Building Height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
299	SA1872	Rehana Ally	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
299	SA1873	Rehana Ally	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.

				urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
299	SA1874	Rehana Ally	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
299	SA1875	Rehana Ally	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
299	SA1876	Rehana Ally	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
300	SA1877	David Kirkwood	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
300	SA1878	David Kirkwood	Building Height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
300	SA1879	David Kirkwood	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
300	SA1880	David Kirkwood	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

				therefore fully respect and preserve this character	
300	SA1881	David Kirkwood	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
300	SA1882	David Kirkwood	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
300	SA1883	David Kirkwood	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
301	SA1884		Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
301	SA1885	Zoe van den Bosch and James Wing	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
301	SA1886	Zoe van den Bosch and James Wing	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
301	SA1887	Zoe van den Bosch and James Wing	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
301	SA1888	Zoe van den Bosch and	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

		James Wing		as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
301	SA1889	Zoe van den Bosch and James Wing	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
301	SA1890	Zoe van den Bosch and James Wing	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
302	SA1891	Megan Royle-Jacob	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
302	SA1892	Megan Royle-Jacob	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
302	SA1893	Megan Royle-Jacob	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
302	SA1894	Megan Royle-Jacob	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
302	SA1895	Megan Royle-Jacob	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.

					Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
302	SA1896	Megan Royle-Jacob	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
302	SA1897	Megan Royle-Jacob	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
303	SA1898	Martha Parava	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
303	SA1899	Martha Parava	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
303	SA1900	Martha Parava	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
303	SA1901	Martha Parava	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
303	SA1902	Martha Parava	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

303	SA1903	Martha Parava	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
303	SA1904	Martha Parava	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
304	SA1905	Craig Whitmore	Density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD.
304	SA1906	Craig Whitmore	Building height	Buildings of 5 storeys dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
304	SA1907	Craig Whitmore	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
304	SA1908	Craig Whitmore	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them.' Downhills Park is distinctly different in. Harasser from other parks in the Borough, for example Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be more appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on this site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
304	SA1909	Craig Whitmore	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
304	SA1910	Craig Whitmore	Access, site capacity	Sufficient account has not been taken of constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
304	SA1911	Craig Whitmore	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of

					<p>neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
305	SA1912	Isobel Bolton	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
305	SA1913	Isobel Bolton	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
305	SA1914		MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
305	SA1915	Isobel Bolton	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
305	SA1916	Isobel Bolton	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
305	SA1917	Isobel Bolton	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
305	SA1918	Isobel Bolton	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.

					<p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
306	SA1919	Will van der Knaap	Building height, local character	5 storey apartments at the site would not be in keeping with local character.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
306	SA1920	Will van der Knaap	Building height, open space	A large block of flats clearly visible from Downhills Park would be of detriment to park users.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
306	SA1921	Will van der Knaap	Building density	The proposed number of units is too dense for the size of development proposed.	The quantity of development was capacitised using the methodology included at Appendix A of the Site Allocations document. This is consistent with the London Plan's estimate for the capacity of the site.
306	SA1922	Will van der Knaap	Access, site capacity	There is insufficient access for the size of the development proposed. There is only one narrow vehicular access point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
307	SA1923	Jacopo Cerisola	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
307	SA1924	Jacopo Cerisola	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
307	SA1925	Jacopo Cerisola	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
307	SA1926	Jacopo Cerisola	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

307	SA1927	Jacopo Cerisola	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
307	SA1928	Jacopo Cerisola	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
307	SA1929	Jacopo Cerisola	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
308	SA1930	Emma Collings-Barron	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
308	SA1931	Emma Collings-Barron	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
308	SA1932	Emma Collings-Barron	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
308	SA1933	Emma Collings-Barron	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
308	SA1934	Emma Collings-Barron	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

				the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
308	SA1935	Emma Collings-Barron	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
308	SA1936	Emma Collings-Barron	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
309	SA1937	Alison Fenney	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
309	SA1938	Alison Fenney	Local character, LP conformity	All of the above appears to contradict London Plan policy 7.4	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
309	SA1939	Alison Fenney	Building height	Buildings of 5 storeys would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
309	SA1940	Alison Fenney	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
309	SA1941	Alison Fenney	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

309	SA1942	Alison Fenney	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
309	SA1943	Alison Fenney	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
310	SA1944	Alexander Thompson	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
310	SA1945	Alexander Thompson	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
310	SA1946	Alexander Thompson	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26. A five storey development on the edge of the park would completely dominate the park.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
310	SA1947	Alexander Thompson	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
310	SA1948	Alexander Thompson	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
310	SA1949	Alexander Thompson	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
310	SA1950	Alexander Thompson	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation

					<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
311	SA1951	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
311	SA1952	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
311	SA1953	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
311	SA1954	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
311	SA1955	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
311	SA1956	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
311	SA1957	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation</p>

					will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
312	SA1958	Deborah Berger	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
312	SA1959	Deborah Berger	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
312	SA1960	Deborah Berger	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
312	SA1961	Deborah Berger	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
312	SA1962	Deborah Berger	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
312	SA1963	Deborah Berger	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
312	SA1964	Deborah Berger	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

					on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
313	SA1965	Sarah Kellow	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
313	SA1966	Sarah Kellow	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
313	SA1967	Sarah Kellow	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
313	SA1968	Sarah Kellow	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
313	SA1969	Sarah Kellow	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
313	SA1970	Sarah Kellow	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
313	SA1971	Sarah Kellow	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
314	SA1972	Winnie Leung	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

				on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
314	SA1973	Winnie Leung	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
314	SA1974	Winnie Leung	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
314	SA1975	Winnie Leung	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
314	SA1976	Winnie Leung	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
314	SA1977	Winnie Leung	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
314	SA1978	Winnie Leung	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
315	SA1979	Maugan Hague	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
315	SA1980	Maugan Hague	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of

					neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
315	SA1981	Maugan Hague	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
315	SA1982	Maugan Hague	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
315	SA1983	Maugan Hague	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
315	SA1984	Maugan Hague	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
315	SA1985	Maugan Hague	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
316	SA1986	Nick Watson	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
316	SA1987	Nick Watson	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.

					Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
316	SA1988	Nick Watson	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
316	SA1989	Nick Watson	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
316	SA1990	Nick Watson	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
316	SA1991	Nick Watson	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
316	SA1992	Nick Watson	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
317	SA1993	Catherine Whittall	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
317	SA1994	Catherine Whittall	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
317	SA1995	Catherine Whittall	Building height, MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation

				and draft DM26.	will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
317	SA1996	Catherine Whittall	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. I wonder what they mean by “best parks”? If they measure best in terms of usage I would argue that Downhills Park is exceedingly well used, one only needs to visit it from 8.30am any weekday to see this. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
317	SA1997	Catherine Whittall	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
317	SA1998	Catherine Whittall	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
317	SA1999	Catherine Whittall	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
318	SA2000	Valerie Bernard	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
318	SA2001	Valerie Bernard	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
318	SA2002	Valerie Bernard	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation

				and draft DM26.	will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
318	SA2003	Valerie Bernard	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
318	SA2004	Valerie Bernard	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
318	SA2005	Valerie Bernard	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
318	SA2006	Valerie Bernard	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
319	SA2007	Lisa Arghyrou	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
319	SA2008	Lisa Arghyrou	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
319	SA2009	Lisa Arghyrou	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact

					on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
319	SA2010	Lisa Arghyrou	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
319	SA2011	Lisa Arghyrou	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
319	SA2012	Lisa Arghyrou	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
319	SA2013	Lisa Arghyrou	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
320	SA2014	Jonathan Maris	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
320	SA2015	Jonathan Maris	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
320	SA2016	Jonathan Maris	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
320	SA2017	Jonathan Maris	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation

				different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
320	SA2018	Jonathan Maris	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
320	SA2019	Jonathan Maris	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
320	SA2020	Jonathan Maris	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
321	SA2021	Samantha Bayley	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
321	SA2022	Samantha Bayley	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
321	SA2023	Samantha Bayley	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
321	SA2024	Samantha Bayley	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.

				urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
321	SA2025	Samantha Bayley	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
321	SA2026	Samantha Bayley	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
321	SA2027	Samantha Bayley	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
322	SA2028	Madeleine North	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
322	SA2029	Madeleine North	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
322	SA2030	Madeleine North	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
322	SA2031	Madeleine North	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.

				peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	
322	SA2032	Madeleine North	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
322	SA2033	Madeleine North	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
322	SA2034	Madeleine North	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
323	SA2035	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
323	SA2036	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
323	SA2037	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
323	SA2038	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

323	SA2039	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
323	SA2040	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
323	SA2041	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
324	SA2042	Luke Crowley	Building Height, density, local character	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
324	SA2043	Luke Crowley	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
324	SA2044	Luke Crowley	Building height and density	Concern that building height will set a precedent for future development in the local area.	It is noted that all development creates a precedent for all future development.
324	SA2045	Luke Crowley	MOL	Proposed building heights would be over bearing to natural beauty of Downhills park and out of character with surrounding environment.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
324	SA2046	Luke Crowley	Building height and density	Question as to how proposed development fits in with existing landscape and neighbourhood.	All development will be required to fit in with it's surrounds, as set out in Draft DM policy DM1.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

324	SA2047	Luke Crowley	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
324	SA2048	Luke Crowley	Site capacity	Site is too small to accommodate level of development proposed.	The quantity of development was capacitised using the methodology included at Appendix A of the Site Allocations document. This is consistent with the London Plan's estimate for the capacity of the site.
325	SA2049	Alex Beattie	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
325	SA2050	Alex Beattie	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
325	SA2051	Alex Beattie	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
325	SA2052	Alex Beattie	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
325	SA2053	Alex Beattie	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
325	SA2054	Alex Beattie	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
325	SA2055	Alex Beattie	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.

					Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
326	SA2056	Ross Day	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
326	SA2057	Ross Day	MOL	A five storey development would completely dominate the park. Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
326	SA2058	Ross Day	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
326	SA2059	Ross Day	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
326	SA2060	Ross Day	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
326	SA2061	Ross Day	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
326	SA2062	Ross Day	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

327	SA2063	Sam Nightingale	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
327	SA2064	Sam Nightingale	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
327	SA2065	Sam Nightingale	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
327	SA2066	Sam Nightingale	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
327	SA2067	Sam Nightingale	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council’s Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
327	SA2068	Sam Nightingale	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
327	SA2069	Sam Nightingale	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
328	SA2070	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

328	SA2071	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
328	SA2072	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
328	SA2073	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
328	SA2074	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Identification of it as an urban setting envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
328	SA2075	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
328	SA2076	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
329	SA2077	Caroline Carter	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
329	SA2078	Caroline Carter	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of

					neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
329	SA2079	Caroline Carter	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
329	SA2080	Caroline Carter	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
329	SA2081	Caroline Carter	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
329	SA2082	Caroline Carter	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
329	SA2083	Caroline Carter	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
330	SA2084	Warren Miller	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
330	SA2085	Warren Miller	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.

					Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
330	SA2086	Warren Miller	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
330	SA2087	Warren Miller	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
330	SA2088	Warren Miller	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
330	SA2089	Warren Miller	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
330	SA2090	Warren Miller	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
331	SA2091	Sydney Murray	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
331	SA2092	Sydney Murray	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
331	SA2093	Sydney Murray	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation

				and draft DM26.	will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
331	SA2094	Sydney Murray	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
331	SA2095	Sydney Murray	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
331	SA2096	Sydney Murray	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
331	SA2097	Sydney Murray	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
332	SA2098	Michael Gofton	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
332	SA2099	Michael Gofton	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
332	SA2100	Michael Gofton	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact

					on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
332	SA2101	Michael Gofton	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
332	SA2102	Michael Gofton	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
332	SA2103	Michael Gofton	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
332	SA2104	Michael Gofton	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
333	SA2105	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
333	SA2106	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
333	SA2107	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
333	SA2108	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.

				example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
333	SA2109	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
333	SA2110	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
333	SA2111	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
335	SA2112	Dr Michelle Northrop	Building height	Buildings of 5 storeys would not be in keeping with local character, where there are no buildings over 3 storeys.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
335	SA2113	Dr Michelle Northrop	Amenity	Concern with building height impact with respect to loss of privacy and loss of sunlight into back garden.	It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
336	SA2114	Paul Ferber	Building height	Proposal for building of up to 5 storeys would be detrimental to the appearance of the park and only benefit those who live there.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
336	SA2115	Paul Ferber	Building height and density	The site is not appropriate for proposed uses on this scale.	The quantity of development was capacitated using the methodology included at Appendix A of the Site Allocations document. This is consistent with the London Plan's estimate for the capacity of the site.
337	SA2116	Matthew Straker	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

337	SA2117	Matthew Straker	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
337	SA2118	Matthew Straker	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
337	SA2119	Matthew Straker	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	<p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
337	SA2120	Matthew Straker	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p>
337	SA2121	Matthew Straker	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	<p>It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.</p>
337	SA2122	Matthew Straker	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings.</p> <p>Action: Remove heights from the site allocation</p> <p>Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.</p> <p>Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.</p>
338	SA2123	Steve Hill	Amenity	Building of 5 storeys would look down on the 2 and 3 storey developments closer to Keston road.	<p>It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.</p>
338	SA2124	Steve Hill	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character	<p>Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an</p>

					updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
338	SA2125	Steve Hill	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
338	SA2126	Steve Hill	Building height	Downhills Park is a quiet suburban park which does not need bigger frontages to it.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
338	SA2127	Steve Hill	Access	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
339	SA2128	Elsa Dechaux	Amenity	A 5 storey building will damage the park's character and block out the sun.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
339	SA2129	Elsa Dechaux	Building height, local character	A 5 storey building will destroy the character of the area.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
339	SA2130	Elsa Dechaux	Open space	A high building at the site will have a detrimental impact on the park.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
339	SA2131	Elsa Dechaux	Amenity	Residents near the site will lose their peace, sunlight and privacy in gardens.	The policy includes a requirement to respect the amenity of neighbouring properties on Keston Rd.
342	SA2132	Janet Evans	Building height, local character	Despite need for more affordable housing there is no justification for a 5 storey building which is not in keeping with local character.	Noted, affordable housing will be required in all residential developments. Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
342	SA2133	Janet Evans	Open space, building height	Downhills Park is an open space that does not have high rise buildings around its perimeter, again this contributes to its good feeling of open	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

				space, this would be compromised by a high rise building.	will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
342	SA2134	Janet Evans	Building height, density	If the site is to be developed, it should be for more limited low rise development.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
342	SA2135	Janet Evans	Access, site capacity	Other housing options would be more suitable than 80+ homes in a 5 storey block due to limited access to the site	The Council is exploring all potential housing options available, and will draw upon all of them to meet its objectively identified housing need.
344	SA2136	Helen Summers and Michael Gofton	Building height, amenity	A 5-storey building will overlook properties adjacent to and opposite the site.	It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
344	SA2137	Helen Summers and Michael Gofton	Building height, amenity	Concern with impact of proposed 5-storey building on amenity.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
345	SA2138	S. Dobie	Building height	Object to proposed 5-storey residential development at this site.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
377	SA2139	Federica Zamagna	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced “to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road” this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
377	SA2140	Federica Zamagna	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
377	SA2141	Federica Zamagna	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
377	SA2142	Federica Zamagna	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact

				appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
377	SA2143	Federica Zamagna	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
377	SA2144	Federica Zamagna	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
377	SA2145	Federica Zamagna	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
379	SA2146	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
379	SA2147	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
379	SA2148	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
379	SA2149	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

379	SA2150	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
379	SA2151	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
379	SA2152	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
380	SA2153	C. Toocaram	Density	Object to overdevelopment of our existing residential area.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
394	SA2154	Mr and Mrs Konneh	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
394	SA2155	Mr and Mrs Konneh	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
394	SA2156	Mr and Mrs Konneh	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
394	SA2157	Mr and Mrs Konneh	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however.

				urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
394	SA2158	Mr and Mrs Konneh	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
394	SA2159	Mr and Mrs Konneh	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
394	SA2160	Mr and Mrs Konneh	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
397	SA2161	Jerlene Powell	Building height, density	87 dwellings on the site out of character with density in surrounding area. Even if heights are reduced "to respect the amenity of properties on Keston Road" this will not mitigate the impact.	Capacities were created in accordance with the methodology set out in Appendix A to the Site Allocation DPD. It is considered that the reference to mitigating effect on properties on Keston Rd is appropriate.
397	SA2162	Jerlene Powell	Building height and density	Buildings of 5 storeys and 87 dwellings would be inappropriate at site and not in keeping with local character. Refers London Plan policy 7.4.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
397	SA2163	Jerlene Powell	MOL	Buildings of 5 storeys would have a detrimental impact on adjacent MOL, including character and visual amenity. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
397	SA2164	Jerlene Powell	Building height	Officers at the Council have suggested that the best parks have 'active frontages and grander buildings facing them'. Downhills Park is distinctly different in character from some other parks in the Borough. For example, Finsbury Park where the southern fringes have a much more urban character and where activity and grander buildings might be appropriate. Downhills Park in comparison is quiet, suburban and peaceful as per its surrounding area. Development on the site should	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

				therefore fully respect and preserve this character.	
397	SA2165	Jerlene Powell	Building height	Proposed building heights are not consistent with Council's Urban Characterisation Study findings for the area. Even the identification of it as an urban setting only envisages a building height of 2-4 storeys within the broad guidelines set out in the London Plan matrix.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
397	SA2166	Jerlene Powell	Access, site capacity	Site is not suitable for accommodating level of development proposed, given constrained access to the site including narrow streets and site entrance point.	It is not considered that this site is particularly inaccessible to constrain development.
397	SA2167	Jerlene Powell	Building height	There are no surrounding landmark cues that warrant such a height at a park side location.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the Allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
639	SA2168	Francesca Hall, local resident	Height	I also object to the proposal of the high rise buildings Keston road	Objection is noted. A limit of 5 storeys is not considered to be high rise development.
684	SA2169	Pat Devereaux, local resident	Height	I am a resident in Kirkstall Avenue N17 and I wish to voice my objection to LB Haringey's plan to replace Keston Centre and Nursery with residential housing. I believe this block of flats is not in keeping with the character of the neighbourhood and the proposed development is overbearing and out of scale in terms of appearance of existing developmnt. I believe the building will have an adverse effect on the community and the park.	Noted. Specific height limits have been removed from the plan as any proposed development will be assessed against the development management policies which address local character and amenity of neighbouring properties. The development management policies contain an updated policy on tall and taller buildings. Action: Remove heights from the site allocation
335	SA2327	Dr Michelle Northrop	Social and community infrastructure	Keston day centre building is of notable architectural quality – can this be saved?	Council acknowledges the building is of some architectural merit. However, it is not of high enough quality to retain as the site could be more optimally used for housing.
827	SA2328	Lucy Rogers, non-local resident	Inappropriate development	I am totally against the scope of the Site Allocations and many of them are completely inappropriate and out of control. For example, Site 62 Barber Wilson, the location of an important and viable business; Site 61 the Keston daycare centre; the Selby Centre SA 64, to name a few. Why is Haringey looking at its assets and seeing them simply land that is fair game for developers to build housing stock? This approach is short-termist and wrong.	Opposition noted.
280	SA2329	C.R.J Currie	Historic environment	The proposed swap would also destroy historic and valued features immediately inside the park. The herbaceous	Noted, any impact on Downhills Park, including by creation of access, will be carefully considered using policies in the DMDPD.

				border adjoining the fence existed as a green strip by 1864, and was marked with trees some of which survive and thus predate the park. They include an ash (now a threatened species). The adjoining footpath was also part of the 18th-19th century layout of the grounds, and was deliberately retained by the Tottenham Urban District Council in laying out the park in 1903; it is the normal route for park users between the Philip Lane and Keston Road entrances. Removing it would thus damage both the amenity and historic character of the park.	
281	SA2330	Claire Smith	Historic environment	The Victorian school should be retained.	
283	SA2331	Mike Bembenek	Historic environment	Concern with demolition of historic building.	Noted. While the school building does have some architectural merit it is not considered appropriate to retain it due to the fact it is ancillary to the main building and only single storey. The site could be more optimally used by redeveloping it for residential uses. Conversion of the building would require additional floors to maximise capacity on the site.
284	SA2332	Brenda Roach / Denham Hanson	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building, without any proper assessment of its value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
285	SA2333	Steven Kirkwood	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building, without any proper assessment of its value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
286	SA2334	Nora Kirkwood	Historic environment, accessibility	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building, without any proper assessment of its value. Notes that such sites are particularly beneficial for disabled people across all impairment groups and safe access should be maintained. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
287	SA2335	Israel Amadi	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of its value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
288	SA2336	Friends of Downhills Park	Historic environment	Downhills park grounds exist from when Downhills House was built on this site in 1728. After a campaign by local residents, Tottenham Urban District Council bought the house and grounds in 1902. To improve the vehicle access from the site would mean destroying a stretch of the park boundary in that area, herbaceous border with some mature trees, the adjoining footpath, and damaging the tennis courts. The boundary at this point demonstrably existed in 1619, and marked the medieval boundary (dating from 1585). It continued as the boundary of the grounds of Downhills House (1728-1900ish), and then as the historic boundary of the park itself. The border has existed in some form as a green strip from 1864 or earlier; some of the mature trees predate the park; and the footpath line is also part of the 18th/19th century layout of the grounds and was	Noted, any impact on Downhills Park, including by creation of access, will be carefully considered using policies in the DMDPD.

				wisely retained by Tottenham Council in 1902.	
288	SA2337	Friends of Downhills Park	Historic environment	Would like further information on how historic nature of Park has been considered in proposals.	Noted, any impact on Downhills Park, including by creation of access, will be carefully considered using policies in the DMDPD.
289	SA2338	Jonathan Gill	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of its value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
292	SA2339	Marie Davis	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of its value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
293	SA2340	Debbie Reid	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of its value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
295	SA2341	Alison Bembenek	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of school building. Any allocation for development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
295	SA2342	Alison Bembenek	Historic environment	Concern that allocation suggests that building need not be retained without any assessment informing this position.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
296	SA2343	Elizabeth Amadi	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without a proper assessment of its value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
297	SA2344	Helen Summers	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
299	SA2345	Rehana Ally	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused. Fearful of further loss – demolition of Congregational Chapel in Lordship Lane cited.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
300	SA2346	David Kirkwood	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of its value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
301	SA2347	Zoe van den Bosch and	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.

		James Wing		should require that the building be retained and reused.	Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
302	SA2348	Megan Royle-Jacob	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
303	SA2349	Martha Parava	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
304	SA2350	Craig Whitmore	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
305	SA2351	Isobel Bolton	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
306	SA2352	Will van der Knaap	Historic environment	Victorian school building would be a significant loss to local history	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
307	SA2353	Jacopo Cerisola	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
308	SA2354	Emma Collings-Barron	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
309	SA2355	Alison Fenney	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
310	SA2356	Alexander Thompson	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
311	SA2357	Aleksandra Schiller and	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.

		Paulo Martins		should require that the building be retained and reused.	Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
312	SA2358	Deborah Berger	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
313	SA2359	Sarah Kellow	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
314	SA2360	Winnie Leung	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
315	SA2361	Maugan Hague	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
316	SA2362	Nick Watson	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
318	SA2363	Valerie Bernard	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
319	SA2364	Lisa Arghyrou	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
320	SA2365	Jonathan Maris	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
324	SA2366	Luke Crowley	Design	School building should be style in which any new construction is in keeping with.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
321	SA2367	Samantha Bayley	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.

					Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
322	SA2368	Madeleine North	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	<p>The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.</p> <p>Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application</p>
323	SA2369	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	<p>The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.</p> <p>Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application</p>
324	SA2370	Luke Crowley	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building, which is part of the local character. The building be retained and reused.	<p>The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.</p> <p>Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application</p>
325	SA2371	Alex Beattie	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	<p>The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.</p> <p>Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application</p>
326	SA2372	Ross Day	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	<p>The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.</p> <p>Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application</p>
327	SA2373	Sam Nightingale	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	<p>The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.</p> <p>Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application</p>
328	SA2374	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	<p>The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.</p> <p>Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application</p>
329	SA2375	Caroline Carter	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	<p>The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.</p> <p>Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application</p>
330	SA2376	Warren Miller	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	<p>The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.</p> <p>Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application</p>
331	SA2377	Sydney Murray	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	<p>The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site.</p> <p>Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the</p>

					Keston Centre in any application
332	SA2378	Michael Gofton	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
333	SA2379	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
337	SA2380	Matthew Straker	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
338	SA2381	Steve Hill	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building. Question as to whether an assessment of building has been undertaken, for example, to consider re-use.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
377	SA2382	Federica Zamagna	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
379	SA2383	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
394	SA2384	Mr and Mrs Konneh	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
397	SA2385	Jerlene Powell	Historic environment	Concern with potential loss of Victorian school building without proper assessment of value. Any development should require that the building be retained and reused.	The site has been assessed, and while the building to the south has some heritage significance, there is scope for development on the site. Action: Include reference to consideration of the heritage significance of the Keston Centre in any application
282	SA2386	H. Steel	Biodiversity	Mature trees on the adjoining site should be protected, in line with saved UDP policy OS17.	Noted. DM2 of the development management policies makes reference to the requirement for a proposed development to respond to the trees on and off the site.
284	SA2387	Brenda Roach and Denham Hanson	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
285	SA2388	Steven	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

		Kirkwood			Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
286	SA2389	Nora Kirkwood	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
287	SA2390	Israel Amadi	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
288	SA2391	Friends of Downhills Park	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity, including loss of trees, shrubs and land	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
289	SA2392	Jonathan Gill	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
291	SA2393	Clare Parry	Biodiversity	Reducing park areas will negatively impact on the environment.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
292	SA2394	Marie Davis	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
293	SA2395	Debbie Reid	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
294	SA2396	Katherine Currie	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
295	SA2397	Alison Bembenek	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity, particularly along eastern boundary of park adjacent the allocation.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
296	SA2398	Elizabeth Amadi	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
297	SA2399	Helen Summers	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
299	SA2400	Rehana Ally	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

300	SA2401	David Kirkwood	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
301	SA2402	Zoe van den Bosch and James Wing	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
302	SA2403	Megan Royle-Jacob	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
303	SA2404	Martha Parava	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
304	SA2405	Craig Whitmore	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
305	SA2406	Isobel Bolton	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
307	SA2407	Jacopo Cerisola	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
308	SA2408	Emma Collings-Barron	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
309	SA2409	Alison Fenney	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
310	SA2410	Alexander Thompson	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
311	SA2411	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
312	SA2412	Deborah Berger	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

313	SA2413	Sarah Kellow	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
314	SA2414	Winnie Leung	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
315	SA2415	Maugan Hague	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
316	SA2416	Nick Watson	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
317	SA2417	Catherine Whittall	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
318	SA2418	Valerie Bernard	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
319	SA2419	Lisa Arghyrou	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
320	SA2420	Jonathan Maris	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
321	SA2421	Samantha Bayley	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
322	SA2422	Madeleine North	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
323	SA2423	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
325	SA2424	Alex Beattie	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
326	SA2425	Ross Day	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

					Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
327	SA2426	Sam Nightingale	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
328	SA2427	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
329	SA2428	Caroline Carter	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
330	SA2429	Warren Miller	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
331	SA2430	Sydney Murray	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
332	SA2431	Michael Gofton	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
333	SA2432	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
337	SA2433	Matthew Straker	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
377	SA2434	Federica Zamagna	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
379	SA2435	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
394	SA2436	Mr and Mrs Konneh	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.
397	SA2437	Jerlene Powell	Biodiversity	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on park biodiversity.	Noted. A development guideline will be added to address this. Action: Addition of a guideline that any development on the park must be aware of the park and minimise the effects on the park's biodiversity.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

280	SA2438	C.R.J Currie	Biodiversity, open space	A 5 storey development on edge of park would dominate and physically damage the park. The existing eastern edge of the park immediately adjoining the site is lined with a hedge and trees that shield park users from the view of buildings behind and preserve an impression of rural green character. They are an intrinsic part of the design of the park, as established in 1903, and some are specimen trees of botanic interest.	Noted. It is an issue for the DMDPD to control the impacts of development on the open space. A reference to draw attention to this issue in the allocation will be added however. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
280	SA2439	C.R.J Currie	Building height, biodiversity	The height of the buildings would impose permanent morning shade throughout most of the year on the trees and plants both in the hedge and the adjoining southern stretch of the park, restricting their growth and risking their survival, thus damaging the park, as well as depriving park users in the area of sunlight for much of the time.	Noted. Any development on this site will be assessed against the development management policies which address the impact of development adjacent to open space.
342	SA2440	Janet Evans	Community cohesion, employment	The relatively recent establishment of the little cafe has made a huge difference to the atmosphere in the park, it has really contributed to the whole feel of the park, it has become somewhere that people can meet neighbours and has contributed to the sense of community in the immediate vicinity. Young people benefit from working in the cafe.	Noted. The development management policies will ensure the effects on the park of any development are minimised.
151	SA2441	Sylvia Oland (local resident)	Downhills Park	Friends of Downhills park have worked for over 15 years to maintain and improve this park.	Noted. The development management policies will ensure the effects on the park of any development are minimised.
639	SA2442	Francesca Hall, local resident	Downhills Park	the impact of this on Downhills park will be detrimental	Noted. Action: Add a point that development should not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring Downhills Park.
342	SA2443	Janet Evans	Health and well-being	The park contributes to health and well-being.	Noted. The development management policies will ensure the effects on the park of any development are minimised.
827	SA2444	Lucy Rogers, non-local resident	Inappropriate development	I am totally against the scope of the Site Allocations and many of them are completely inappropriate and out of control. For example, Site 62 Barber Wilson, the location of an important and viable business; Site 61 the Keston daycare centre; the Selby Centre SA 64, to name a few. Why is Haringey looking at its assets and seeing them simply land that is fair game for developers to build housing stock? This approach is short-termist and wrong.	Opposition noted.
288	SA2445	Friends of Downhills Park	London Plan conformity	Proposals are contrary to London Plan chapter 7.	Noted. Haringey Council believes its policies in the site allocations document are in line with the London Plan. This will be tested by independent examination.
284	SA2446	Brenda Roach and Denham Hanson	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
285	SA2447	Steven Kirkwood	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
286	SA2448	Nora Kirkwood	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

287	SA2449	Israel Amadi	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
289	SA2450	Jonathan Gill	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
292	SA2451	Marie Davis	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
293	SA2452	Debbie Reid	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
294	SA2453	Katherine Currie	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
295	SA2454	Alison Bembenek	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
296	SA2455	Elizabeth Amadi	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
297	SA2456	Helen Summers	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
299	SA2457	Rehana Ally	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
300	SA2458	David Kirkwood	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
301	SA2459	Zoe van den Bosch and James Wing	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
302	SA2460	Megan Royle-Jacob	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
303	SA2461	Martha Parava	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
304	SA2462	Craig Whitmore	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
305	SA2463	Isobel Bolton	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
307	SA2464	Jacopo	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

		Cerisola		impact on park users.	through any application.
308	SA2465	Emma Collings-Barron	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
309	SA2466	Alison Fenney	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
310	SA2467	Alexander Thompson	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
311	SA2468	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
312	SA2469	Deborah Berger	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
313	SA2470	Sarah Kellow	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
314	SA2471	Winnie Leung	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
315	SA2472	Maugan Hague	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
316	SA2473	Nick Watson	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
317	SA2474	Catherine Whittall	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
318	SA2475	Valerie Bernard	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
319	SA2476	Lisa Arghyrou	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
320	SA2477	Jonathan Maris	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
321	SA2478	Samantha Bayley	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
322	SA2479	Madeleine North	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

323	SA2480	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
325	SA2481	Alex Beattie	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
326	SA2482	Ross Day	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
327	SA2483	Sam Nightingale	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
328	SA2484	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
329	SA2485	Caroline Carter	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
330	SA2486	Warren Miller	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
331	SA2487	Sydney Murray	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
332	SA2488	Michael Gofton	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
333	SA2489	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
337	SA2490	Matthew Straker	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
377	SA2491	Federica Zamagna	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
379	SA2492	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
394	SA2493	Mr and Mrs Konneh	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
397	SA2494	Jerlene Powell	MOL	A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

				impact on park users.	through any application.
298	SA2495	Pascal Savy	MOL	MOL at Downhills Park should be protected from development.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
288	SA2496	Friends of Downhills Park	MOL	MOL is a valuable local resource and at a time when the local authority is planning on increasing housing throughout the borough, any existing MOL will be of increasing importance for the residents of the whole borough. The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
304	SA2497	Craig Whitmore	MOL	MOL suggests borrowing land is inappropriate.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
295	SA2498	Alison Bembenek	MOL	No clear evidence to justify why MOL needs to be interfered with.	This site allocation identifies the land swap as an option to consider if development is undertaken. Any development which did propose a MOL land swap would be required to justify why this was required.
342	SA2499	Janet Evans	MOL	Object to park being used for access during building works, access for proposed new development, or for any building.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
282	SA2500	H. Steel	MOL	Proposal is in conflict with UDP Saved Policy OS5 concerning development adjacent to MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application. Saved Policy OS5 will be replaced by the DMDPD, which will require MOL to be optimized.
288	SA2501	Friends of Downhills Park	MOL	Refers London Plan policy 7.17	Noted. Haringey Council believes its policies in the site allocations document are in line with the London Plan. This will be tested by independent examination.
342	SA2502	Janet Evans	MOL	The park is an asset to the local community and wider area and should not be given up for any type of development.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
284	SA2503	Brenda Roach and Denham Hanson	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
285	SA2504	Steven Kirkwood	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
286	SA2505	Nora Kirkwood	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
287	SA2506	Israel Amadi	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
289	SA2507	Jonathan Gill	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
292	SA2508	Marie Davis	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
293	SA2509	Debbie Reid	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
294	SA2510	Katherine Currie	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

295	SA2511	Alison Bembenek	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL. Refers London Plan policy 7.17.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
296	SA2512	Elizabeth Amadi	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
297	SA2513	Helen Summers	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
299	SA2514	Rehana Ally	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
300	SA2515	David Kirkwood	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
301	SA2516	Zoe van den Bosch and James Wing	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
302	SA2517	Megan Royle-Jacob	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
303	SA2518	Martha Parava	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
305	SA2519	Isobel Bolton	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
307	SA2520	Jacopo Cerisola	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
308	SA2521	Emma Collings-Barron	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
309	SA2522	Alison Fenney	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
310	SA2523	Alexander Thompson	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
311	SA2524	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
312	SA2525	Deborah Berger	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
313	SA2526	Sarah Kellow	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

314	SA2527	Winnie Leung	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
315	SA2528	Maugan Hague	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
316	SA2529	Nick Watson	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
317	SA2530	Catherine Whittall	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
318	SA2531	Valerie Bernard	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
319	SA2532	Lisa Arghyrou	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
320	SA2533	Jonathan Maris	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
321	SA2534	Samantha Bayley	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
322	SA2535	Madeleine North	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
323	SA2536	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
325	SA2537	Alex Beattie	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
326	SA2538	Ross Day	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
327	SA2539	Sam Nightingale	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
328	SA2540	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
329	SA2541	Caroline Carter	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
330	SA2542	Warren Miller	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
331	SA2543	Sydney Murray	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
332	SA2544	Michael Gofton	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
333	SA2545	Stephanie Davies-	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

		Crowley			
337	SA2546	Matthew Straker	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
377	SA2547	Federica Zamagna	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
379	SA2548	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
394	SA2549	Mr and Mrs Konneh	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
397	SA2550	Jerlene Powell	MOL	The proposals do not constitute a justification for the release of land from MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
298	SA2551	Pascal Savy	MOL	The proposed land swap should not take place, as it would negatively impact on the MOL, including character of park and visual amenity, and the existing footpath.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
288	SA2552	Friends of Downhills Park	MOL	We are distressed that the Council should propose taking any part of a public amenity such as a park to use as building or access land. A land swap involving MOL would affect the existing footpath that runs the perimeter of the park and would impact on park users.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
344	SA2553	Helen Summers and Michael Gofton	MOL , access	Question as to whether MOL can be swapped for a suitable main access into existing site through the existing park entrance from West Green Road. This would prevent disruption to local residents whilst leading into an existing car park.	There will be no net loss of MOL through any application, and any application will be required to demonstrate how it benefits the setting of Downhills Park through any application.
280	SA2554	C.R.J Currie	MOL, access, London Plan conformity	The London plan states (section 7.56) that 'Development that involves the loss of MOL in return for the creation of new open space elsewhere will not be considered appropriate.' That should rule out the proposal to 'swap' land adjoining the site for other land to be added to the park.	
282	SA2555	H. Steel	MOL, consultation	The map does not identify the land which may be subject to a land swap so genuine consultation is not possible.	More detailed plans will be available for consultation upon submission of a planning application.
280	SA2556	C.R.J Currie	MOL, London Plan conformity	Alternative proposals for enlarging the access breach London Plan policy on MOL. Refers London Plan policy 7.17 and draft DM26.	
324	SA2557	Luke Crowley	MOL, London Plan conformity	Proposal for a land swap involving MOL would set a dangerous precedent for protected land and in contravention of London Plan policy for MOL.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
282	SA2558	H. Steel	MOL, open space	There should be no loss of existing parkland.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
306	SA2559	Will van der Knaap	MOL, open space	Object to loss of parkland and MOL and proposal for land swap	Objection noted.
342	SA2560	Janet Evans	MOL, open space	Open space would be compromised by 5 storey building adjacent to it.	Noted. Any development on this site will be assessed against the development management policies which address the impact of development adjacent to

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

					open space.
280	SA2561	C.R.J Currie	MOL, Social and community infrastructure	The 'swap' would also involve destroying the adjoining tennis courts, which are regularly used by local people and would certainly be appreciated by residents of new development. There is nowhere in the park where they could be relocated without destroying other features and facilities.	This is not true, and unfounded as details are not available.
291	SA2562	Clare Parry, Local resident – Downhills Park Road	Objection to loss of open space	Objection to the plan, with primary objection is that it requires land to be taken from Downhills Park.	There will be no net loss of MOL.
281	SA2563	Claire Smith	Open space	Development on perimeter of park would be detrimental to public space.	Noted. Any development on this site will be assessed against the development management policies which address the impact of development adjacent to open space.
288	SA2564	Friends of Downhills Park	Open space	Green spaces are of increasing importance and must be preserved.	Noted. Council's strategic policies state that new development should protect and improve open spaces.
288	SA2565	Friends of Downhills Park	Open space	The area around the proposed site is an essential asset to the area and contributes to well-being of locals. None of this should be given up to development and it should be preserved in its entirety.	Noted. Council's strategic policies state that new development should protect and improve open spaces.
290	SA2566	Daniel Isichei and Katie Rye	Open space	Development would have a detrimental impact on Downhills Park.	Noted. Council's strategic policies state that new development should protect and improve open spaces. The development management policies also require adjacent development to protect and enhance the value of the open land.
290	SA2567	Daniel Isichei and Katie Rye	Open space	Object to the loss of part of Downhills Park, which is a community asset.	The site allocation proposes a land swap of MOL may be required. If any of the MOL were required for improving access it would be replaced with land from the site. This would ensure no net loss of open space and MOL.
291	SA2568	Clare Parry	Open space	Reducing park areas will negatively impact on park users, including for potential new users arising from the proposed residential development.	The site allocation proposes a land swap of MOL may be required. If any of the MOL were required for improving access it would be replaced with land from the site. This would ensure no net loss of open space and MOL.
291	SA2569	Clare Parry	Open space	Residential units in this location will increase volume of people using park yet there will be less space for everyone to enjoy.	The site allocation proposes a land swap of MOL may be required. If any of the MOL were required for improving access it would be replaced with land from the site. This would ensure no net loss of open space and MOL.
339	SA2570	Elsa Dechaux	Open space	There is a need for local parks. Gardens are tiny and the reason people use parks. Removing park space and adding more people is opposite of what should be done for regeneration of the area.	The site allocation proposes a land swap of MOL may be required. If any of the MOL were required for improving access it would be replaced with land from the site. This would ensure no net loss of open space and MOL.
339	SA2571	Elsa Dechaux	Open space	The park is at the centre of the community and needs to be preserved.	The site allocation proposes a land swap of MOL may be required. If any of the MOL were required for improving access it would be replaced with land from the site. This would ensure no net loss of open space and MOL. Council's strategic policies state that new development should protect and improve open spaces.
339	SA2572	Elsa Dechaux	Open space	The park and cafe attract visitors to the area and they must be looked after.	Noted. This is outside the scope of this site allocation.
380	SA2573	C. Toocaram	Open space	Object to loss of public space and Downhills park, which is greatly loved and used by old and young.	The site allocation proposes a land swap of MOL may be required. If any of the MOL were required for improving access it would be replaced with land from

					the site. This would ensure no net loss of open space and MOL.
339	SA2574	Elsa Dechaux	Playground	The playground in the park will be spoiled by a 5 storey building right behind it.	Noted. Development management policies will ensure the effects of the development on the park are minimised.
Respondent ID	Comm ent ID	Respondent	Topic	Summary of Response	Council Response
324	SA2575	Luke Crowley	Nursery	Keston Centre provides many well used and needed local amenities. There is a shortage of nursery places in the community and removal of another is unjustified.	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
639	SA2576	Francesca Hall, local resident	Nursery	there is a valuable nursery that local working people use and provides excellent services	Noted.
290	SA2577	Daniel Isichei and Katie Rye	Nursery	Nursery facility is an asset to the community, the loss of which would have a negative impact.	Noted.
339	SA2578	Elsa Dechaux	Nursery	The nursery / children centre is at the centre of the community. Even if it is replaced its character will change, and the replacement facility will likely be smaller.	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
341	SA2579	Jennie Pedley	Nursery	The nursery and green space on Keston Road is perfect for young children and should not be turned into a residential space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
338	SA2580	Steve Hill	Nursery	With regard to replacement of community use (nursery), question as to whether the new facility would have the same level of space and amount of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
286	SA2581	Nora Kirkwood	Play space	The planned build is too close to the fenced children's play area which has taken many years to become established and recognised as safe play space.	Noted, the amenity of neighbouring uses will be managed using DMDPD policies.
151	SA2582	Sylvia Oland (local resident)	Playgroup	Playgroup would have to be re-sited but no mention of where, causing inconvenience and a loss to the community	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
334	SA2583	West Green Playgroup	Playgroup	The building the playgroup presently occupies was designed around its needs, including open space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
317	SA2584	Catherine Whittall	Playgroup	If West Green Playgroup is moved elsewhere there will be an even greater demand in this area for childcare provision. Healthcare services in area are strained. Question as to what work has been undertaken to consider the impact of so many new dwellings and people coming into the area on these services.	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
317	SA2585	Catherine Whittall	Playgroup	Losing this local and affordable playgroup surely goes against the Government's ethos of enabling parents to work by increasing early years childcare in local	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they

				settings. In the Policy “Improving the quality and range of education and childcare from birth to 5 years” published in April 2013, it states that: “affordable and easily accessible childcare is also crucial for working families – it can help create more opportunities for parents who wish, or need, to work and raise children at the same time... We also aim to provide more good-quality affordable childcare. “ If West Green Playgroup has to move to a different site it will no longer be as accessible for the families who currently use it, and if it has to pay increased rents (very likely) the likelihood is that costs will increase therefore fees will increase and it will no longer be affordable for the local community. This would be an absolute tragedy and contrary to Government policy.	should be provided to meet this need.
645	SA2586	Keith Dobie, local resident	Playgroup	Objects to Closing down a successful play group of 30 years+ (West Green / Keston Road) and building 87 'homes' over looking Downhills Park (for who?).	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
334	SA2587	West Green Playgroup	Playgroup	Playgroup has been on site since 1973 and are a much needed facility in the area.	Noted.
334	SA2588	West Green Playgroup	Playgroup	Playgroup is full for this academic year and there are no spaces for children on waiting list. Services have doubled over the past 18 months. Question as to how provision can be retained in the area by taking away these services?	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
345	SA2589	S. Dobie	Playgroup	Question as to where West Green playgroup will be located.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
335	SA2590	Dr Michelle Northrop	Playgroup	Question as to whether a replacement community facility would retain outdoor open space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
335	SA2591	Dr Michelle Northrop	Playgroup	Recognise the importance of West Green playgroup and related facilities, including outdoor play space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
623	SA2592	Cllr Felicia Opoku, and separate identical response as local resident	Playgroup	Reprovision of both the Day Centre and nursery should be on the current site. Planning application approval should only be given to developers who agree to this	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
343	SA2593	Danielle Conaghan	Playgroup	Request that allocation include a new building at site to provide for the playgroup.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

334	SA2594	West Green Playgroup	Playgroup	The playgroup should be included in the redevelopment rather than relocated.	The site allocation provides for the re-provision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
334	SA2595	Debbie Reid, Administrator West Green Playgroup OBO West Green Playgroup	Playgroup	West Green Playgroup will be directly affected by the proposals.	Noted. Council will continue to engage with the play group in any proposals for the site.
306	SA2596	Will van der Knaap	Redevelopment	Suggest retaining existing buildings, keeping the playgroup and making old school available to a religious or free school	Noted. While the school building does have some architectural merit it is not considered appropriate to retain it due to the fact it is ancillary to the main building and only single storey. The site could be more optimally used by redeveloping it for residential uses.
335	SA2597	Dr Michelle Northrop	School provision	Is there potential for the local school to take over Keston day centre to provide more places for primary school?	Noted. While the school building does have some architectural merit it is not considered appropriate to retain it due to the fact it is ancillary to the main building and only single storey. The site could be more optimally used by redeveloping it for residential uses.
338	SA2598	Steve Hill	School provision	The building could still have a future use for education given increased demand for school places, for example, as an extension of provision at Downhills Academy.	Noted. While the school building does have some architectural merit it is not considered appropriate to retain it due to the fact it is ancillary to the main building and only single storey. The site could be more optimally used by redeveloping it for residential uses.
335	SA2599	Dr Michelle Northrop	School provision	Local schools are at capacity.	Noted. Local school place provision will be addressed in the infrastructure delivery plan.
339	SA2600	Elsa Dechaux	School provision	Neighbouring schools are overcrowded and there is no space for the potential addition of 87 families.	Noted. Local school place provision will be addressed in the infrastructure delivery plan.
298	SA2601	Pascal Savy	School provision	Proposals would have a non-negligible impact on local school capacity, especially Harris Primary, and local GP practices.	The infrastructure delivery plan will address need for new community infrastructure based on both current demand and future demand from proposed development on allocated sites.
306	SA2602	Will van der Knaap	Social and community infrastructure	Although site requirements state that community uses should be re-provided, this is unlikely to be in the local area.	The infrastructure delivery plan will address the need for community uses in the area. It will show where need is greatest and the sites that may be appropriate.
338	SA2603	Steve Hill	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of building and community uses - centre has been used for people with learning disabilities.	The infrastructure delivery plan will address the need for community uses in the area. It will show where need is greatest and the sites that may be appropriate.
280	SA2604	C.R.J Currie	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site.	The site allocation provides for the re-provision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
284	SA2605	Brenda Roach / Denham Hanson	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the re-provision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

285	SA2606	Steven Kirkwood	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
286	SA2607	Nora Kirkwood	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
287	SA2608	Israel Amadi	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
288	SA2609	Friends of Downhills Park	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
289	SA2610	Jonathan Gill	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
293	SA2611	Debbie Reid	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
294	SA2612	Katherine Currie	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. No clear alternative for a replacement facility in the local area.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
295	SA2613	Alison Bembenek	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
296	SA2614	Elizabeth Amadi	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
297	SA2615	Helen Summers	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
299	SA2616	Rehana Ally	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
300	SA2617	David Kirkwood	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
304	SA2618	Craig	Social and community	Concern over loss of community uses, including nursery provision and outdoor play area. This is an asset for	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

		Whitmore	infrastructure	local community and needed for provision.	community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
309	SA2619	Alison Fenney	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
312	SA2620	Deborah Berger	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
313	SA2621	Sarah Kellow	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
314	SA2622	Winnie Leung	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
315	SA2623	Maugan Hague	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
316	SA2624	Nick Watson	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
317	SA2625	Catherine Whittall	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Asset to the local community and extremely well regarded, particularly grass outdoor play space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
318	SA2626	Valerie Bernard	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
320	SA2627	Jonathan Maris	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
321	SA2628	Samantha Bayley	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
322	SA2629	Madeleine North	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
323	SA2630	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

[illegible]

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

302	SA2643	Megan Royle-Jacob	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
303	SA2644	Martha Parava	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
305	SA2645	Isobel Bolton	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
307	SA2646	Jacopo Cerisola	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
308	SA2647	Emma Collings-Barron	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
310	SA2648	Alexander Thompson	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
311	SA2649	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
319	SA2650	Lisa Arghyrou	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
329	SA2651	Caroline Carter	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
332	SA2652	Michael Gofton	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
377	SA2653	Federica Zamagna	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of	The site allocation provides for the reprovision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

				outdoor space.	
379	SA2654	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Social and community infrastructure	Concern over loss of community uses, including West Green playgroup, nursery provision and outdoor play area. Allocation should be clearer that uses should be re-provided on-site and nursery provided with same level of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
343	SA2655	Danielle Conaghan	Social and community infrastructure	Concern with demolition of Keston centre building and loss of playgroup.	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
298	SA2656	Pascal Savy	Social and community infrastructure	Concern with impact on the existing nursery and day care centre. These are a strong focal point for the community. Whilst proposal indicates facilities would need to be re-provided there is no certainty about future location and access to local users. Removal of facilities from the site would have negative impact on local community.	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
306	SA2657	Will van der Knaap	Social and community infrastructure	Concern with loss of West Green playgroup, providing affordable childcare, which would have a significant impact on local families	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
283	SA2658	Mike Bembenek	Social and community infrastructure	Concern with potential loss of the play area behind the nursery - this should be preserved as it offers many benefits for child development.	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
283	SA2659	Mike Bembenek	Social and community infrastructure	Concern with potential relocation of nursery.	The site allocation provides for the reprovion of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
294	SA2660	Katherine Currie	Social and community infrastructure	Council estimates suggest that Haringey primary schools will reach capacity by 2016, yet proposal suggest allowing residential on land / building built for a school.	The infrastructure delivery plan will address school provision in the area. There is need for both residential and school places in Haringey. It is important Council allocates land for both these uses and in this instance it is thought this site could accommodate many of the new houses the borough requires.
818	SA2661	Our Tottenham	Social and community infrastructure	In line of the acute need for social infrastructure of all kinds in the Eastern part of the Borough, this publically owned site should be prioritized for such uses.	Noted. There is also an acute need for new housing in the east of the borough. This site allocations document seeks to address this by allocating sites for a number of uses balancing requirements for both housing and community facilities. It is considered that this site could accommodate a number of the new dwellings required in Haringey. The provision of local infrastructure to support existing sand proposed housing will be addressed in the infrastructure delivery plan.
290	SA2662	Daniel Isichei and Katie Rye	Social and community infrastructure	Local amenities would not be able to cope with demand arising from new development.	The infrastructure delivery plan will address need for new community infrastructure based on both current demand and future demand from proposed development on allocated sites.
342	SA2663	Janet Evans	Social and community infrastructure	Object to loss of facilities including existing uses, nursery and community centre.	The infrastructure delivery plan will address need for new community infrastructure based on both current demand and future demand from proposed development on allocated sites.
324	SA2664	Luke Crowley	Social and community infrastructure	Provision of local infrastructure is greatly strained in the area. High density development at Lawrence Square will bring further demands on existing provision.	The infrastructure delivery plan will address need for new community infrastructure based on both current demand and future demand from proposed development on allocated sites.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

324	SA2665	Luke Crowley	Social and community infrastructure	Question as to what assessments have been made to ensure services will cope with increased population.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
343	SA2666	Danielle Conaghan	Social and community infrastructure	Question as to where existing facility would be re-provided.	The site allocation provides for the re-provision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
298	SA2667	Pascal Savy	Social and community infrastructure	Question as to whether existing facilities could cope with scale of development proposed, especially in light of nearby new residential units at Lawrence Road.	The infrastructure delivery plan will address need for new community infrastructure based on both current demand and future demand from proposed development on allocated sites.
338	SA2668	Steve Hill	Social and community infrastructure	Question as to whether there has been an assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, including public transport, school places and surgeries.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
317	SA2669	Catherine Whittall	Social and community infrastructure	The allocation says that the community uses will be re-provided before development can take place. The allocation should be explicit that these should be re-provided on site and should demand any new nursery/ playgroup re-provide the same amount and type of outdoor space.	The site allocation provides for the re-provision of community uses before development occurs. The infrastructure delivery plan will assess need for community facilities, including those currently on the site, and where they should be provided to meet this need.
334	SA2670	West Green Playgroup	Social and community infrastructure	The current proposals, along with development at Lawrence Road and proposals at St Ann's Hospital will increase the need for facilities.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
151	SA2671	Sylvia Oland (local resident)	Social and community infrastructure	The proposal will impact local resources, doctors' surgeries, dentists, schools. There are possibly 87 more families to provide facilities for in an already overcrowded area.	The infrastructure delivery plan will address need for new community infrastructure based on both current demand and future demand from proposed development on allocated sites.
280	SA2672	C.R.J Currie	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including for health facilities and schools.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
284	SA2673	Brenda Roach / Denham Hanson	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken, including for health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
285	SA2674	Steven Kirkwood	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken, including health facilities, schools and public transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
286	SA2675	Nora Kirkwood	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including for health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
287	SA2676	Israel Amadi	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including for health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
289	SA2677	Jonathan Gill	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including for health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
292	SA2678	Marie Davis	Social and community	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

			infrastructure	including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	
293	SA2679	Debbie Reid	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including for health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
294	SA2680	Katherine Currie	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and public transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
296	SA2681	Elizabeth Amadi	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
297	SA2682	Helen Summers	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
299	SA2683	Rehana Ally	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
300	SA2684	David Kirkwood	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
301	SA2685	Zoe van den Bosch and James Wing	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
302	SA2686	Megan Royle-Jacob	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
303	SA2687	Martha Parava	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
304	SA2688	Craig Whitmore	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
305	SA2689	Isobel Bolton	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
307	SA2690	Jacopo Cerisola	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
308	SA2691	Emma Collings-	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

		Barron			
309	SA2692	Alison Fenney	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
310	SA2693	Alexander Thompson	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
311	SA2694	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
312	SA2695	Deborah Berger	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
313	SA2696	Sarah Kellow	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
314	SA2697	Winnie Leung	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
315	SA2698	Maugan Hague	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
316	SA2699	Nick Watson	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
317	SA2700	Catherine Whittall	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
318	SA2701	Valerie Bernard	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
319	SA2702	Lisa Arghyrou	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
320	SA2703	Jonathan Maris	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
321	SA2704	Samantha Bayley	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

				Services are straining to meet current demand.	
322	SA2705	Madeleine North	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
323	SA2706	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
325	SA2707	Alex Beattie	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
326	SA2708	Ross Day	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
327	SA2709	Sam Nightingale	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
328	SA2710	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
329	SA2711	Caroline Carter	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
330	SA2712	Warren Miller	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
331	SA2713	Sydney Murray	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
332	SA2714	Michael Gofton	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
333	SA2715	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
337	SA2716	Matthew Straker	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
377	SA2717	Federica Zamagna	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

				Services are straining to meet current demand.	
379	SA2718	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
394	SA2719	Mr and Mrs Konneh	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
397	SA2720	Jerlene Powell	Social and community infrastructure	Unclear whether assessment of impact on local services, arising as a result of proposal, has been undertaken including health facilities, schools and transport. Services are straining to meet current demand.	The provision of local services will be addressed within the infrastructure delivery plan.
Respondent ID	Comment ID	Respondent	Topic	Summary of Response	Council Response
344	SA2721	Helen Summers and Michael Gofton	Traffic	Access arrangements will have a huge impact on what is currently a peaceful and safe area.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
298	SA2722	Pascal Savy	Amenity	Additional traffic associated with the development would have an adverse affect on amenity.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
281	SA2723	Claire Smith	Parking	Concern with parking and access arrangements related to any future site development. There is already an issue with parking space in the local area.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
284	SA2724	Brenda Roach / Denham Hanson	Traffic, amenity, health and safety	Concern with potential impact that traffic will have on safety, noise, pollution and overall health of residents; proposals could compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
827	SA2725	Lucy Rogers, non-local resident	Inappropriate development	I am totally against the scope of the Site Allocations and many of them are completely inappropriate and out of control. For example, Site 62 Barber Wilson, the location of an important and viable business; Site 61 the Keston daycare centre; the Selby Centre SA 64, to name a few. Why is Haringey looking at its assets and seeing them simply land that is fair game for developers to build housing stock? This approach is short-termist and wrong.	The Council faces a challenging task to meet it's objectively identified housing target. It is entirely appropriate that a range of types of site are identified to combine to meet this need. Keston Centre has been identified as a potentially surplus asset within the Plan period, and as such is a suitable site for housing development.
344	SA2726	Helen Summers and Michael Gofton	Parking	If maximum units are achieved on site there will be inadequate parking for building occupiers and therefore overspill into adjoining streets. At Keston Road parking is unrestricted and currently used by commuters.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
290	SA2727	Daniel Isichei and Katie Rye	Traffic, health and safety	Impact on pedestrians of increased traffic and traffic flow would be detrimental to health and safety	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

295	SA2728	Alison Bembenek	Traffic	It is important that the closing of the road at the Phillips Lane end remains, otherwise Keston Road will suffer from cut through traffic.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
338	SA2729	Steve Hill	Amenity	It is unfair for the amount of traffic for the two adjacent properties either side of the site.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
335	SA2730	Dr Michelle Northrop	Traffic	Keston Road is congested, has already had traffic calming measures and will not be able to cope with increase in traffic.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
339	SA2731	Elsa Dechaux	Traffic, health and safety	Keston Road is currently safe for children as it is not a shortcut to Philip Lane.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
344	SA2732	Helen Summers and Michael Gofton	Traffic	Keston Road was blocked at Philip Road to address local traffic issues. The proposal will compromise this intervention if access to Keston Centre is made via Keston Road, as there are currently two options to reach this point – at Keston Road via Downhill’s Park and Kirkstall Avenue.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
380	SA2733	C. Toocaram	Traffic, access, health and safety	Keston Road, Ripon Road and Downhills Park are major road leading directly to the park. Many of existing local residents rely on these routes to the park to be safe, secure and remain tranquil as has always been. I do not think that by this building going ahead it will remain as it is.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
380	SA2734	C. Toocaram	Parking	Object to increase in parking. problems that already exist.	The access arrangements and traffic impacts will be determined/assessed by DMDPD policies having regard to the surrounding road layout.
151	SA2735	Sylvia Oland (local resident)	Traffic	Out of keeping with the area. Can’t imagine how vehicular access would be built and there would be a great increase in traffic. Parking is already a problem.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
339	SA2736	Elsa Dechaux	Parking	Parking in the area is already challenging and the proposal will worsen the situation. Due to on-road parking, Keston Road is constrained for traffic.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
290	SA2737	Daniel Isichei and Katie Rye	Parking	Parking is already difficult and proposals will impact on this.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
339	SA2738	Elsa Dechaux	Traffic	Proposal for 87 residential units , along with cars, will increase traffic in the area and make it unsafe.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
285	SA2739	Steven Kirkwood	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
286	SA2740	Nora Kirkwood	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
287	SA2741	Israel Amadi	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
289	SA2742	Jonathan Gill	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

292	SA2743	Marie Davis	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
293	SA2744	Debbie Reid	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
294	SA2745	Katherine Currie	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
295	SA2746	Alison Bembenek	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
297	SA2747	Helen Summers	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
298	SA2748	Pascal Savy	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic in local area, which is already heavy during rush hours.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
299	SA2749	Rehana Ally	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	
300	SA2750	David Kirkwood	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
301	SA2751	Zoe van den Bosch and James Wing	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
302	SA2752	Megan Royle-Jacob	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
303	SA2753	Martha Parava	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
304	SA2754	Craig Whitmore	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, Kirkstall Avenue and Ripon Road and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
305	SA2755	Isobel Bolton	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
307	SA2756	Jacopo Cerisola	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
308	SA2757	Emma Collings-Barron	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

309	SA2758	Alison Fenney	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
310	SA2759	Alexander Thompson	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
311	SA2760	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
312	SA2761	Deborah Berger	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
313	SA2762	Sarah Kellow	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
315	SA2763	Maugan Hague	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
316	SA2764	Nick Watson	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
317	SA2765	Catherine Whittall	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
318	SA2766	Valerie Bernard	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
319	SA2767	Lisa Arghyrou	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	
320	SA2768	Jonathan Maris	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
321	SA2769	Samantha Bayley	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
322	SA2770	Madeleine North	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
323	SA2771	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
324	SA2772	Luke Crowley	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
325	SA2773	Alex Beattie	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

326	SA2774	Ross Day	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
327	SA2775	Sam Nightingale	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
328	SA2776	Olivia Fleming and Giles Aldridge	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
329	SA2777	Caroline Carter	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
330	SA2778	Warren Miller	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
331	SA2779	Sydney Murray	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
332	SA2780	Michael Gofton	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
337	SA2781	Matthew Straker	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
338	SA2782	Steve Hill	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic. This will result in spill over to streets, even beyond Downhills Park Avenue.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
333	SA2783	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	Traffic, health and safety	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming. It will also result in wear and tear on the road – already have large holes due to trucks passing through street which took ages to get fixed and was dangerous for locals and hazardous for cars.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
377	SA2784	Federica Zamagna	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
379	SA2785	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
394	SA2786	Mr and Mrs Konneh	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
397	SA2787	Jerlene Powell	Traffic	Proposal for residential development would have a significant impact on traffic through Keston Road, and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
284	SA2788	Brenda Roach /	Parking	Proposal will have negative impact on parking access.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDDP.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

		Denham Hanson			
324	SA2789	Luke Crowley	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area, and there would be insufficient space to accommodate additional cars	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
285	SA2790	Steven Kirkwood	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
287	SA2791	Israel Amadi	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
289	SA2792	Jonathan Gill	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
292	SA2793	Marie Davis	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
293	SA2794	Debbie Reid	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
297	SA2795	Helen Summers	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
299	SA2796	Rehana Ally	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
300	SA2797	David Kirkwood	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
301	SA2798	Zoe van den Bosch and James Wing	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
302	SA2799	Megan Royle-Jacob	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
303	SA2800	Martha Parava	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
304	SA2801	Craig Whitmore	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
305	SA2802	Isobel Bolton	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
307	SA2803	Jacopo Cerisola	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
308	SA2804	Emma Collings-Barron	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
309	SA2805	Alison Fenney	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

310	SA2806	Alexander Thompson	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
311	SA2807	Aleksandra Schiller and Paulo Martins	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
312	SA2808	Deborah Berger	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
313	SA2809	Sarah Kellow	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
314	SA2810	Winnie Leung	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
315	SA2811	Maugan Hague	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
316	SA2812	Nick Watson	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
317	SA2813	Catherine Whittall	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
318	SA2814	Valerie Bernard	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
319	SA2815	Lisa Arghyrou	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
320	SA2816	Jonathan Maris	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
321	SA2817	Samantha Bayley	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
322	SA2818	Madeleine North	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
323	SA2819	Andreas Demetriou and Annette Demetriou	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
325	SA2820	Alex Beattie	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
326	SA2821	Ross Day	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
327	SA2822	Sam Nightingale	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
328	SA2823	Olivia Fleming and Giles	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

		Aldridge			
329	SA2824	Caroline Carter	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
330	SA2825	Warren Miller	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
331	SA2826	Sydney Murray	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
332	SA2827	Michael Gofton	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
333	SA2828	Stephanie Davies-Crowley	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
337	SA2829	Matthew Straker	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
377	SA2830	Federica Zamagna	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
379	SA2831	Barry Naughton and Val Naughton	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
394	SA2832	Mr and Mrs Konneh	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
397	SA2833	Jerlene Powell	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
294	SA2834	Katherine Currie	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area. Already difficult to park in road during weekday.	Parking will be managed in accordance with the DMDPD.
286	SA2835	Nora Kirkwood	Parking	Proposal would have a negative impact on parking in the area. The road is not within a CPZ but adjoins one and parking is therefore heavy at all times. Particular concern for Blue Badge uses, where bays are being used by non badge users due to insufficient parking.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
281	SA2836	Claire Smith	Traffic	Proposal would lead to an unacceptable increase in traffic on Keston Road and Kirkstall road.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
280	SA2837	C.R.J Currie	Traffic	Proposal would lead to severe impact on traffic coming through Keston Road and compromise recent measures e.g. traffic calming.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
335	SA2838	Dr Michelle Northrop	Amenity	Proposal would result in an increase in noise level and traffic.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
338	SA2839	Steve Hill	Parking	Proposal would result in need for parking in the area.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.

Appendix F (15) Site Allocations consultation report

290	SA2840	Daniel Isichei and Katie Rye	Traffic	Question as to how local transport network would cope without increased investment.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
344	SA2841	Helen Summers and Michael Gofton	Parking	Question as to whether a residents only parking scheme will be introduced in local area to address parking and access issues.	The introduction of a CPZ is outside the scope of the Plan.
338	SA2842	Steve Hill	Traffic	Question as to whether a traffic management system is proposed for surrounding streets.	The introduction of a CPZ is outside the scope of the Plan.
344	SA2843	Helen Summers and Michael Gofton	Amenity	Residents will suffer from heavy traffic, including construction vehicles during development and from residents on occupation.	The impact of construction will be managed using th Sustainable Design and Construction SPD.
280	SA2844	C.R.J Currie	Parking	Suggest that parking for residents should be wholly accommodated on site.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
338	SA2845	Steve Hill	Public transport	The development will lead to greater demand for buses. Already the 41 bus is full at peak times, such as for school routes, as well as the 23 and 241.	Bus capacity will be managed by TfL.
339	SA2846	Elsa Dechaux	Amenity	The park is peaceful and that will change if the building goes ahead, with a lot of traffic in the area.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
280	SA2847	C.R.J Currie	Parking, access	The parking space on Keston Road and the adjoining roads is already full at most times of the day on weekdays (really needing a CPZ) and increasingly at weekends, and the addition of up to 120 cars (at 1.4 cars per new unit) could not possibly be accommodated. Proposals fail to indicate how delivery vehicles could access and park on the site.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.
344	SA2848	Helen Summers and Michael Gofton	Traffic	There is already a moderate amount of traffic going to and from Council buildings.	Noted.
344	SA2849	Helen Summers and Michael Gofton	Amenity	Will have impact on property, as traffic will be approaching from two directions.	Parking and traffic management will be managed through the use of Development Management policies in determining a planning application.